

G. Zoupanos
NTUAthens

New Challenges in Unification

- Higher Dimensional Unified Theories and Coset Space Dimensional Reduction
- Fuzzy Extra Dimensions and Renormalisable Chiral Unified Theories
- Remarks on the predictions of Finite Unified Theories vs LHC results

Coset Space Dimensional Reduction (CSDR)

Original motivation

Use higher dimensions

- to unify the gauge and Higgs sectors
- to unify the fermion interactions with gauge and Higgs fields

Supersymmetry provides further unification (fermions in adj reps)

Forgacs + Manton ; Manton

Chapline + Slansky

Kubyskin + Mourao + Rudolph + Volobuev - book

Kapetanakis + G. Z. - Phys. Rept

Manousselis + G. Z., Phys. Lett. B 504, 122 (01); PLB 518, 171 (01); JHEP 03, 002 (02); JHEP 11, 025 (04)

Further successes

- (a) chiral fermions in 4 dims from vector-like reps in the higher dim thy.
- (b) the metric can be deformed (in certain non-symmetric coset sp) and more than one scales can be introduced
- (c) Wilson flux breaking can be used

ADD

- Softly broken susy chiral ths in 4 dims can result from a higher dimensional susy theory

Theory in D dims \rightarrow Thy in 4 dims

1. Compactification $M^D \rightarrow M^4 \times B$
 $\begin{matrix} | \\ x^M \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} | \\ x^\mu \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} | \\ y^a \end{matrix}$

B - a compact space

$$\dim B = D - 4 = d$$

2. Dimensional Reduction

Demand that \mathcal{L} is independent of the extra y^a coordinates

- One way: Discard the field dependence on y^a coordinates

- An elegant way: Allow field dependence on y^a and employ a symmetry of the Lagrangian to compensate.

Obvious choice: Gauge Symmetry

Allow a non-trivial dependence on y^a , but **impose** the condition that a symmetry transformation by an element of the isometry group S of B is compensated by a gauge transformation.

$\Rightarrow L$ independent of y^a just because is gauge invariant.

Integrate out extra coordinates

$$\text{CSDR: } B = S/R$$

$$S: Q_A = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} Q_i \\ | \\ R \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} Q_a \\ | \\ S/R \end{array} \right\}$$

$$[Q_i, Q_j] = f_{ij}^k Q_k, \quad [Q_i, Q_a] = f_{ia}^b Q_b$$

$$[Q_a, Q_b] = f_{ab}^i Q_i + f_{ab}^c Q_c$$

\uparrow vanishes in symmetric S/R

Consider a Yang-Mills-Dirac theory in D dims based on group G defined on $M^D \rightarrow M^4 \times S/R, D=4+d$

$$g^{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & -g^{ab} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$$

g^{ab} - coset space metric

$d = \dim S - \dim R$

$$A = \int d^4x d^d y \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(F_{MN} F^{KL}) g^{MK} g^{NL} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \Gamma^M D_M \psi \right]$$

$$D_M = \partial_M - \Theta_M - A_M, \quad \Theta_M = \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{MN} \Sigma^{MN}$$

spin connection of M^D

ψ in rep Γ of G

We require that any transformation by an element of S acting on S/R is compensated by gauge transformations.

$$A_\mu(x, y) = g(s) A_\mu(x, s^{-1}y) g^{-1}(s)$$

$$A_\alpha(x, y) = g(s) J_\alpha^b A_b(x, s^{-1}y) g^{-1}(s) + g(s) \partial_\alpha g^{-1}(s)$$

$$\psi(x, y) = f(s) \underline{\circ} \psi(x, s^{-1}y) f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)$$

g, f - gauge transformations in the ad_s, F of G corresponding to the s transf. of S acting on S/R

J_α^b - Jacobian for s

$\underline{\circ}$ - Jacobian + local Lorentz rotation in tangent space

Above conditions imply constraints that D-dims fields should obey.

Solution of constraints {

- 4-dim fields
- Potential
- Remaining gauge invariance

Taking into account all the constraints and integrating out the extra coordinates, we obtain in 4 dims

$$A = C \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \text{Tr} (D_{\mu} \phi_{\alpha} D^{\mu} \phi_{\alpha}) + V(\phi) + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \Psi - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^a D_a \Psi \right)$$

Kinetic terms mass terms

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - A_{\mu}, \quad D_a = \partial_a - \Theta_a - \phi_a, \quad \Theta_a = \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{abc} \Sigma^{bc}$$

C - volume of coset space spin connection of coset space

$$V(\phi) = -\frac{1}{4} g^{ac} g^{bd} \text{Tr} \left\{ \left(f_{ab}^c \phi_c - [\phi_a, \phi_b] \right) \left(f_{cd}^D \phi_D - [\phi_c, \phi_d] \right) \right\}$$

$A = 1, \dots, \dim S$, f - structure const. of S

Still $V(\phi)$ only formal since ϕ_a must

satisfy $f_{ai}^D \phi_D - [\phi_a, \phi_i] = 0$

... Fermions

$$G \supset \mathbb{R}_G \times H$$

$$F = \sum (t_i, h_i)$$

spinor of $SO(d)$ under \mathbb{R}

$$\mathbb{6}_d = \sum \mathbb{6}_j$$

for every $t_i = \mathbb{6}_i \Rightarrow h_i$ survives
in 4 dims

Possible to obtain a chiral theory

in 4 dims even starting with

Weyl (+ Majorana) fermions in

vector-like reps of G in

$$D = 4n + 2 \text{ dims.}$$

If D is even

$$\Gamma^{D+1} \psi_{\pm} = \pm \psi_{\pm}$$

Weyl condition

$$\psi = \psi_+ \oplus \psi_- = 6_D + 6'_D$$

non-self conjugate
of ^{spinors} $SO(1, D-1)$

The $(SU(2) \times SU(2)) \times SO(d)$

branching rule is

$$6_D = (2, 1; 6_d) + (1, 2; 6'_d)$$

$$6'_D = (2, 1; 6'_d) + (1, 2; 6_d)$$

Starting with Dirac fermions

equal number of left- and

→ right-handed reps of the

4-dim group H

Weyl condition selects either 6_D

or $6'_D$

Weyl condition cannot be applied
in odd dims. In that case

$$\mathfrak{so}_D = (2, 1; \mathfrak{so}_d) + (1, 2; \mathfrak{so}_d)$$

where \mathfrak{so}_d is the unique spinor of $SO(d)$

→ equal number of left- and right-
handed reps in 4 dims.

Most interesting case is when
 $D = 4n + 2$ and we start with a

vectorlike rep. In that case \mathfrak{so}_d

is non-self-conjugate and $\mathfrak{so}_d = \overline{\mathfrak{so}_d}$.

Then the decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}_d, \overline{\mathfrak{so}_d}$ of

$SO(d)$ under \mathbb{R} is

$$\mathfrak{so}_d = \sum \mathfrak{so}_k, \quad \overline{\mathfrak{so}_d} = \sum \overline{\mathfrak{so}_k}$$

Then

$$G \supset \mathbb{R}_G \times H$$

$$F = \sum_i (r_i, h_i)$$

vectorlike

either self-conjugate
or have a partner
(\bar{r}_i, \bar{h}_i)

Then according to the rule from \mathfrak{so}_d we will obtain in 4 dims left-handed fermions $f_L = \sum h_k^L$

Since \mathfrak{so}_d is non-self-conjugate, f_L is non-self-conjugate.

Similarly from $\bar{\mathfrak{so}}_d$ we will obtain the right-handed rep $f_R = \sum \bar{h}_k^R = \sum h_k^L$

But since F vectorlike, $\bar{h}_k^R \sim h_k^L$
i.e. H is chiral theory

We can still impose Majorana condition (Weyl and Majorana are compatible in $4n+2$ dims) to eliminate the doubling of fermion spectrum. Majorana cond (reverses the sign of all int. qu. nos) forces f_R to be the charge conjugate of f_L .

If F complex \rightarrow chiral theory
just \bar{h}_K^R is different from h_K^L

An easy case in calculating
the potential and its minimization

If $G \supset S \Rightarrow H$ breaks to $K = C_G(S)$

$G \supset S \times K \leftarrow$ gauge group after
 $\quad \quad \cup \quad \quad \cap$ spontaneous sym. breaking
 $G \supset R \times H$
 $\quad \quad \quad \uparrow$
 gauge group
 in 4 dims

But

fermion masses

$$M^2 \psi = D_a D^a \psi - \frac{1}{4} R \psi - \frac{1}{2} \sum^{ab} F_{ab} \psi > 0$$

$\quad \quad \quad \parallel$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{if } 0 \subset C_G$

comparable to the compactification
scale

Supersymmetry breaking by dim
reduction over Symmetric CS.
(e.g. SO_7/SO_6)

Consider $G = E_8$ in 10 dims
with Weyl-Majorana fermions
in the adjoint of E_8 , i.e. a susy E_8

Embedding of $R = SO(6)$ in E_8 is
suggested by the decomposition

$$E_8 \supset SO(6) \times SO(10)$$

$$248 = (15, 1) + (1, 45) + (6, 10) \\ + (4, 16) + (\bar{4}, \bar{16})$$

$$\text{adj } S = \text{adj } R + \nu$$

$$21 = 15 + 6 \leftarrow \text{vector}$$

Spinor of $SO(6)$: 4

In 4 dims we obtain a gauge theory based on

$$H = C_{E_8}(SO(6)) = SO(10)$$

with scalars in 10

and fermions in 16

- Theorem: When S/R symmetric the potential necessarily leads to spontaneous breakdown of H.
- Moreover in this case we have

$$E_8 \supset SO(7) \times SO(9)$$

$$E_8 \supset SO(6) \times SO(10)$$

⇒ Final gauge group after breaking

$$K = C_{E_8}(SO(7)) = SO(9)$$

CSDR over symmetric coset spaces breaks completely original supersymmetry

Soft Supersymmetry Breaking by CSDR over non-symmetric CS.

We have examined the dim. red
of a supersymmetric E_8 over the

3 existing 6-dim CS: G_2/SU_3

$SP(4)/(SU(2) \times U(1))_{\text{non-max}}$, $SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$

⇒ { Softly Broken Supersymmetric
Theories in 4 dims without
any further assumption

Non-symmetric CS admit torsion
and the two latter more than one
radii

Consider supersymmetric E_8 in
10 dims and $S/R = G_2/SU(3)$

We use the decomposition

$$E_8 \supset SU(3) \times E_6$$

$$248 = (8, 1) + (1, 78) + (3, 27) + (\bar{3}, \bar{27})$$

and choose $R = SU(3)$

$$\text{adj } S = \text{adj } R + \nu$$

$$14 = 8 + \underbrace{3 + \bar{3}}_{\text{vector}}$$

Spinor: $1 + 3$ under $R = SU(3)$

\Rightarrow 4 dim th γ : $H = C_{E_8}(SU(3)) = E_6$

with scalars in $27 = 6$

and fermions in $27, 78$

i.e. spectrum of a supersymmetric
 E_6 th γ in 4 dims

The Higgs potential of the genuine Higgs ϕ

$$V(\phi) = 8 - \frac{40}{3} \phi^2 - [4 d_{ijk} \phi^i \phi^j \phi^k + h.c.] \\ + \phi^i \phi^j d_{ijk} d^{klm} \phi_l \phi_m \\ + \frac{11}{4} \sum_{\alpha} \phi^i (G^{\alpha})_i^j \phi_j \phi^k (G^{\alpha})_k^l \phi_l$$

which obtains F-terms contributions from the superpotential

$$W(B) = \frac{1}{3} d_{ijk} B^i B^j B^k$$

D-term contributions

$$\frac{1}{2} D^{\alpha} D^{\alpha}, \quad D^{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{11}{2}} \phi^i (G^{\alpha})_i^j \phi_j$$

The rest terms belong to the SSB part of the Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{scalar SSB}} = -\frac{140}{2 \cdot 3} \phi^2 - [4 d_{ijk} \phi^i \phi^j \phi^k + h.c.] \frac{9}{R}$$

$$M_{\text{gaugino}} = (1 + 3T) \frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{R}$$

Reduction of 10-dim, $N=1$,

E_8 over $S/R = SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$
N. Irges, G.Z.

We use the decomposition

$$E_8 \supset E_6 \times SU(3) \supset E_6 \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$$

and choose $R = U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$,

$$\rightarrow H = C_{E_8}(U(1)_A \times U(1)_B) = E_6 \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$$

$$E_8 \supset E_6 \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$$

$$248 = 1_{(0,0)} + 1_{(0,0)} + 1_{(3,1/2)} + 1_{(-3,1/2)}$$

$$+ 1_{(0,1)} + 1_{(0,1)} + 1_{(-3,-1/2)} + 1_{(3,-1/2)}$$

$$+ 78_{(0,0)} + 27_{(3,1/2)} + 27_{(-3,1/2)} + 27_{(0,-1)}$$

$$+ \overline{27}_{(-3,-1/2)} + \overline{27}_{(3,-1/2)} + \overline{27}_{(0,1)}$$

$$\text{adj } \mathfrak{S} = \text{adj } \mathfrak{R} + \mathfrak{v} \quad \leftarrow \text{vector}$$

$$\mathfrak{S} = (0,0) + (0,0) + (3,1/2) + (-3,1/2) \\ + (0,-1) + (0,1) + (-3,-1/2) + (3,-1/2)$$

$$SO(6) \supset SU(3) \supset U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$$

$$4 = 1 + 3 = (0,0) + (3, 1/2) + (-3, 1/2) + (0, -1)$$

← spinor →

→ 4-dim theory

$$N=1, E_6 \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B$$

with chiral supermultiplets

$$A^i: 27(3, 1/2), B^i: 27(-3, 1/2), C^i: 27(0, -1)$$

$$A: 1(3, 1/2), B: 1(-3, 1/2), C: 1(0, -1)$$

Superpotential,

$$W(A^i, B^j, C^k, A, B, C) = \sqrt{40} d_{ijk} A^i B^j C^k + \sqrt{40} ABC$$

E_6 symmetric tensor

$$D\text{-terms, } \frac{1}{2} D^\alpha D^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} D_1 D_1 + \frac{1}{2} D_2 D_2$$

$$\text{where } D^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\alpha^i (G^\alpha)_i^j \alpha_j + \beta^i (G^\alpha)_i^j \beta_j + \gamma^i (G^\alpha)_i^j \gamma_j)$$

$$D_1 = \sqrt{10}/3 (\alpha^i (3\delta_i^j) \alpha_j + \bar{\alpha}(3) \alpha + \beta^i (-3\delta_i^j) \beta_j + \bar{\beta}(-3) \beta)$$

$$D_2 = \sqrt{40}/3 (\alpha^i (\frac{1}{2}\delta_i^j) \alpha_j + \bar{\alpha}(\frac{1}{2}) \alpha + \beta^i (\frac{1}{2}\delta_i^j) \beta_j + \bar{\beta}(\frac{1}{2}) \beta + \gamma^i (-1\delta_i^j) \gamma_j + \bar{\gamma}(-1) \gamma)$$

Soft scalar supersymmetry breaking terms, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar-SSB}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{SSB}} = & \left(\frac{4R_1^2}{R_2^2 R_3^2} - \frac{8}{R_1^2} \right) \alpha^i \alpha_i + \left(\frac{4R_1^2}{R_2^2 R_3^2} - \frac{8}{R_1^2} \right) \bar{\alpha} \alpha \\ & + \left(\frac{4R_2^2}{R_1^2 R_3^2} - \frac{8}{R_2^2} \right) b^i b_i + \left(\frac{4R_2^2}{R_1^2 R_3^2} - \frac{8}{R_2^2} \right) \bar{b} b \\ & + \left(\frac{4R_3^2}{R_1^2 R_2^2} - \frac{8}{R_3^2} \right) \gamma^i \gamma_i + \left(\frac{4R_3^2}{R_1^2 R_2^2} - \frac{8}{R_3^2} \right) \bar{\gamma} \gamma \\ & + \left[\sqrt{280} \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2 R_3} + \frac{R_2}{R_1 R_3} + \frac{R_3}{R_2 R_1} \right) d_{ijk} \alpha^i b^j \gamma^k \right. \\ & \left. + \sqrt{280} \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2 R_3} + \frac{R_2}{R_1 R_3} + \frac{R_3}{R_2 R_1} \right) \alpha b \gamma + \text{h.c.} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha^i, b^i, \gamma^i, \alpha, b, \gamma$ are the scalar components of the A^i, B^i, C^i, A, B, C

Gaugino mass, $M = (1+3\tau) \frac{R_1^2 + R_2^2 + R_3^2}{8\sqrt{R_1^2 R_2^2 R_3^2}}$
 torsion coef. \rightarrow

Potential, $V = V_F + V_D + V_{\text{soft}}$

The Wilson flux breaking

$$M^4 \times B_0 \longrightarrow M^4 \times B, \quad B = B_0 / F^{S/R}$$

$F^{S/R}$ - a freely acting discrete symmetry of B_0

1. B becomes multiply connected

2. For every element $g \in F^{S/R}$,

$$\rightarrow U_g = P \exp\left(-i \int_{\gamma_g} T^a A_M^a(x) dx^M\right) \in H$$

3. If the contour is non-contractible

$$\rightarrow U_g \neq 1 \text{ and then } f(g(x)) = U_g f(x)$$

which leads to a breaking of

$$H \text{ to } K' = C_H(T^H), \text{ where } T^H \text{ is}$$

the image of the homomorphism of

$F^{S/R}$ into H .

4. Matter fields invariant under $F^{S/R} \oplus T^H$

In the case of $SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$
 a freely acting discrete group is

$$F^{S/R} = \mathbb{Z}_3 \subset W, \quad W = \frac{W_S}{W_R}$$

$W_{S,R}$: Weyl group of S, R

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_3 = \text{diag}(1, \omega, \omega^2), \quad \omega = e^{2i\pi/3} \in \mathbb{Z}_3$$

The fields that are invariant
 under $F^{S/R} \oplus T^H$ survive, i.e.

$$A_\mu = \gamma_3 A_\mu \gamma_3^{-1}$$

$$A^i = \omega \gamma_3 A^i, \quad B^i = \omega^2 \gamma_3 B^i, \quad C^i = \omega^3 \gamma_3 C^i$$

$$A = \omega A, \quad B = \omega^2 B, \quad C = \omega^3 C$$

$$\Rightarrow N=1, \quad SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$$

with matter superfields in

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{3}, 1, 3)_{(3, 1/2)}, \quad (3, \bar{3}, 1)_{(0, -1)}, \quad (1, 3, \bar{3})_{(-3, 1/2)} \\ & \begin{pmatrix} d^c & d^c & d^c \\ u^c & u^c & u^c \\ h^c & h^c & h^c \end{pmatrix} = q^c, \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} d & u & h \\ d & u & h \\ d & u & h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} N & E^c & \nu \\ E & N^c & e \\ \nu^c & e^c & \bar{N} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Introducing non-trivial windings in R can appear 3 identical flavours in each of the bifundamental matter superfields.

Supersymmetry and gauge symmetry breaking

Consider the vevs in the scalars of $\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ v & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda^{(1)}: SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B \rightarrow SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$$

$$\lambda^{(2)}: SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B \rightarrow SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$$

their combination gives

$$SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B \rightarrow SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$$

electroweak breaking proceeds by

$$\begin{pmatrix} v & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V \end{pmatrix}$$

For a certain relation among
v's the potential vanishes at the min.

Note that before EW breaking,
supersymmetry is broken by D and
F-terms, in addition to its breaking
by soft terms.

- there is no proton decay
- the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism
is naturally realized.

Fuzzy CSDR

Aschieri
Madore
Manousselis
Z

$$M^D = M^4 \times (S/R)_f$$

JHEP0404(204)34

hep-th/0401200

hep-th/0503039

finite matrix manifold
e.g. fuzzy sphere S_f^2

Instead of considering the algebra of functions

$$\text{Fun}(M^D) \sim \text{Fun}(M^4) \times \text{Fun}(S/R)$$

we consider the algebra

$$A = \text{Fun}(M^4) \times M_N$$

M_N - finite dim NC (non-com) algebra of matrices that approximates the functions on $(S/R)_f$

On A we still have the action of symmetry group $S \rightarrow$ we can apply CSDR

Fuzzy Sphere

Madore

Nice example of $(S/R)_f$ is the fuzzy sphere S_f^2 , a matrix approximation of S^2 . The algebra of functions on S^2 (spanned by spherical harmonics) is truncated at a given angular momentum and becomes finite dimensional. The algebra becomes that of $N \times N$ matrices.

The associativity of the algebra is nicely achieved by relaxing commutativity.

The algebra of functions on S^2 can be generated by the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^3 modulo the relation
$$\sum_{a=1}^3 x_a^2 = r^2$$

Scalar functions on S^2 can be expanded

$$f(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l f_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$$

spherical harmonics

$Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$ can be expressed in terms of the cartesian coordinates $X_a, a=1,2,3$ in \mathbb{R}^3

$$Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_a f_{a_1 \dots a_l}^{(lm)} X^{a_1} \dots X^{a_l}$$

traceless symmetric tensor of $SO(3)$ with rank l

Similarly we can expand $N \times N$ matrices

of a matrix theory on a fuzzy sphere

$$\hat{f} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-l}^l f_{lm} \hat{Y}_{lm}$$

$$\hat{Y}_{lm} = r^{-l} \sum_a f_{a_1 \dots a_l}^{(lm)} \hat{X}^{a_1} \dots \hat{X}^{a_l}$$

where $f_{a_1 \dots a_l}^{(lm)}$ the same as in S^2 , while

$$\hat{X}_a = r \frac{i}{\sqrt{N^2-1}} X_a, \quad \hat{X}_a^+ = \hat{X}_a$$

are $N \times N$ hermitian matrices proportional to the N -dim rep of the $SU(2)$ generators

They satisfy

$$\sum_{a=1}^3 \hat{X}_a \hat{X}_a = r^2, \quad [X_a, X_b] = \epsilon_{abc} X_c$$

\hat{Y}_{lm} - fuzzy spherical harmonics

they obey $\text{Tr}_N (\hat{Y}_{lm}^+ \hat{Y}_{l'm'}) = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}$

Obvious relation

$$f = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-l}^l f_{lm} \hat{Y}_{lm} \rightarrow \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-l}^l f_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$$

Similarly

$$\frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}_N \rightarrow \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega, \quad d\Omega = \sin\theta d\theta d\phi$$

In addition on S_F^2 there is a natural $SU(2)$ covariant differential calculus. The derivations of a function f along X_a are given by

$$e_a(f) = [X_a, f], \quad a=1, 2, 3$$

i.e. this calculus is 3-dimensional.

These are essentially the angular momentum operators

$$J_a f = i e_a f = [i X_a, f]$$

which satisfy the $SU(2)$ Lie algebra

$$[J_a, J_b] = i \epsilon_{abc} J_c$$

In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the e_a become

$$e_a = \epsilon_{abc} x_b \partial_c$$

i.e. 2-dimensional

The exterior derivative is given by

$$df = [X_a, f] \theta^a$$

θ^a - 1-forms dual to e_a , $\langle e_a, \theta^b \rangle = \delta_a^b$

1-forms are generated by θ^a

$$\omega = \sum_{a=1}^3 \omega_a \theta^a, \quad \omega \text{ any 1-form}$$

1-form on $M^4 \times S^2$: $A = A_\mu dx^\mu + A_a \theta^a$
with $A_\mu = A_\mu(x^\mu, x_a)$, $A_a = A_a(x^\mu, x_a)$

Non Commutative gauge fields and transformations

Consider a field $\phi(x_a)$ on a fuzzy space described by non-comm coordinates x_a . An infinitesimal gauge transformation

$$\delta \phi(x_a) = \lambda(x_a) \phi(x_a)$$

$\lambda(x_a)$ - gauge transformation parameter

$U(1)$ if $\lambda(x_a)$ antihermitian function of x_a

$U(P)$ if $\lambda(x_a)$ is valued in Lie algebra of $P \times P$ matrices

Coordinates x_a invariant under gauge transformation $\delta x_a = 0$

- $\delta(\chi_a \phi) = \chi_a \lambda(\chi_a) \phi \neq \lambda(\chi_a) \chi_a \phi$

- $\delta(\phi_a \phi) = \lambda(\chi_a) \phi_a \phi$

covariant coordinates

which holds if $\delta(\phi_a) = [\lambda(\chi_a), \phi_a]$

- $\phi_a = \chi_a + A_a$

NC analogue
of covariant
derivative

interpreted as
gauge fields

note that $\delta A_a = -[\chi_a, \lambda] + [\lambda, A_a]$

supporting the interpretation of A_a

Correspondingly define

- $F_{ab} = [\chi_a, A_b] - [\chi_b, A_a] + [A_a, A_b] - C^c{}_{ab} A_c$

$= [\phi_a, \phi_b] - C^c{}_{ab} \phi_c$ analogue of
field strength

$\rightarrow \delta F_{ab} = [\lambda, F_{ab}]$

- $\delta \psi = [\lambda, \psi]$, spinor ψ in the adjoint

Actions in higher dimensions seen as
4-dim actions (expansion in Kaluza-Klein
modes)

$$G = U(P) \quad \text{on} \quad M^4 \times (S/R)_F$$

$$A_{YM} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{tr}_G F_{MN} F^{MN}$$

integration
over $(S/R)_F$

$$F_{MN} \longrightarrow (F_{\mu\nu}, F_{\mu a}, F_{ab})$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

$$F_{\mu a} = \partial_\mu A_a - [X_a, A_\mu] + [A_\mu, A_a]$$

$$= \partial_\mu \phi_a + [A_\mu, \phi_a] = D_\mu \phi_a$$

$$F_{ab} = [\phi_a, \phi_b] - C^c{}_{ab} \phi_c$$

$$\longrightarrow A_{YM} = \int d^4x \operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{tr}_G \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \phi_a)^2 - V(\phi) \right)$$

$$V(\phi) = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{tr}_G \sum_{ab} F_{ab} F_{ab}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{tr}_G \sum_{ab} \left([\phi_a, \phi_b] - C^c{}_{ab} \phi_c \right) \left([\phi_a, \phi_b] - C^c{}_{ab} \phi_c \right)$$

The infinitesimal G gauge transf
with parameter $\lambda(x^\mu, X^a)$ can be
interpreted as M^4 gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(x^\mu, X^a) &= \lambda^\alpha(x^\mu, X^a) T^\alpha \\ &= \lambda^{h,\alpha}(x^\mu) T^h T^\alpha\end{aligned}$$

T^α - generators of $U(P)$

$\lambda^\alpha(x^\mu, X^a)$ - $N \times N$ matrices, therefore

expressible as

Kaluza-Klein
modes of
 $\lambda(x^\mu, X^a)^\alpha$

$$= \lambda(x^\mu)^{\alpha,h} T^h$$

generators of $U(N)$

Considering on equal footing the

indices h and α we interpret $\lambda^{h,\alpha}(x^\mu)$

as a field valued in the tensor

product $\text{Lie}(U(N)) \otimes \text{Lie}(U(P)) = \text{Lie}(U(NP))$

Similarly we write the gauge field A_ν as

$$\begin{aligned} A_\nu(x^\mu, X^a) &= A_\nu^\alpha(x^\mu, X^a) \gamma^\alpha \\ &= A_\nu^{h,\alpha}(x^\mu) T^h \gamma^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and interpret it as $\text{Lie}(U(NP))$ valued gauge field on M^4 .

Similarly for ϕ_a

Then we reduce the number of gauge fields and scalars by applying the CSDB principle.

e.g. $G = U(1)$, $(S/R)_F = S_F^2$

CSDR constraints are satisfied by embedding $SU(2)$ in $U(N)$.

We find in four dimensions

- No H group (due to the fact that the differential calculus is based on $\dim S$ derivations instead of $\dim S - \dim R$ in ordinary case)
- $K = C_{U(N)}(SU(2)) = U(N-2) \times U(1)$
as the final gauge group
- a harmless (singlet) surviving Higgs

Similar results are obtained for $G = U(p)$

CSDR for more general $(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{R})_F$
(e.g. CP^M described by $N \times N$ matrices)

CSDR constraints are satisfied by
embedding \mathbb{F} in $U(N \cdot P)$
and the 4-dim gauge group is

$$K = C_{U(N \cdot P)}(\mathbb{F})$$

Concerning fermions, to solve the
corresponding constraints we embed

$$\mathbb{F} \subset SO(\dim \mathbb{F}')$$

$$U(N \cdot P) \supset \mathbb{F}_{U(N \cdot P)} \times K$$

$$\text{adj } U(N \cdot P) = (\text{adj } \mathbb{F}, 1) + (1, \text{adj } K) \\ + \sum_i (s_i, k_i)$$

$$SO(\dim \mathbb{F}') \supset \mathbb{F}$$

$$\text{spinor } 6 = \sum_i 6_i$$

for $s_i = 6_i \rightsquigarrow k_i$ survive in 4 dims

Major difference among ordinary and fuzzy - CSDR

- 4-dim gauge theory appears already spontaneously broken

→ in 4 dims appears only the physical Higgs that survives SSB

→ Yukawa sector

(i) massive fermions

(ii) interactions among fermions and physical Higgs fields.

⇒ if we obtain in fuzzy-CSDR the SM → large extra dims

Fundamental differences among ordinary and fuzzy-CSDR:

- A non-abelian gauge group is **not necessary** in high dims.

The presence of a $U(1)$ in the higher-dim theory is enough to obtain non-abelian gauge theories in 4 dims.

- The theory is renormalisable in the sense that divergencies can be removed by a finite number of counterterms.

We have constructed
a renormalizable 4-dim
 $SU(N)$ gauge theory with
suitable multiplet of scalar fields.

Asdierni
Grammatikopoulos
Steinacker
Z
hep-th/0606021
JHEP
hep-th/07060396

The symmetry breaking pattern and low-energy gauge group are determined dynamically in terms of a few free parameters of the potential. Depending on these parameters the final gauge group can be $SU(n)$ or $SU(n_1) \times SU(n_2) \times U(1)$

We explicitly found the tower of massive K-K modes, consistent with an interpretation as dimensionally reduced higher-dim gauge theory over an S_f^{12} .

The minima of the potential where vevs of scalars, $\langle \phi_a \rangle$ form the coordinates (generators) of a NC manifold (e.g. S^2 , CP^N)
 \rightarrow interpreted as spontaneously generated fuzzy extra dims.

Fluctuations around the vacuum:
internal components of a higher-dim gauge field $\phi_a = \langle \phi_a \rangle + A_a$
covariant coordinates coordinates gauge fields

with a finite K-K tower of massive states.

Intermediate scales

→ Gauge theory on $M_4 \times M_{\text{fuzzy}}$

Low energy physics governed by
zero modes

At high scales the theory behaves again as a 4-dim gauge theory maintaining renormalizability.

⇒ Main features of dim red are realized within the framework of renormalizable 4-dim gauge th.

Potential **problem** with chirality:

In the best case only models with mirror fermions (not excluded exp)

Steinacker, Z '07
Chatzistavrakidis, Steinacker, Z '09

Chiral models demand additional requirements, e.g. orbifolding

Nice example

$SU(N)^3$ chiral models leading after further spontaneous breakings to $SU(3)^3$ and MSSM.

Chatzidis, Steinauer, Z

'10, '11

$N=4$ SYM

Particle content in $N=1$ language

- a $SU(3N)$ vector supermultiplet
- three adjoint chiral superfields Φ^i

and in components: $SU(3N)$ gauge

bosons A_μ ; 6 adjoint real scalars;
 ϕ_a (or 3 complex); 4 adjoint Majorana fermions

The theory has a global
R-symmetry, $SU(4)_R$
under which the fields transform:

- gauge fields as singlets
- real scalars as 6
- fermions as 4

Orbifolding by Z_3 embedded in
 $SU(3)$ as

$$SU(4)_R \supset SU(3) \times U(1)_R$$

$$6 = 3_2 + \bar{3}_{-2}$$

$$4 = 1_3 + 3_{-1}$$

leads to $N=1$ theory. Kachru,
Silverstein '98

Z_3 acts non-trivially on the various
fields depending on their reps under
the R-symmetry and the gauge group.

Orbifold projection keeps the fields which are invariant under the combined Z_3 action (see e.g. Bailint+Love Phys. Rept '99)

The projected theory is

$N=1$, $SU(N)^3$ gauge theory

with chiral superfields in

$$3 \left((N, \bar{N}, 1) + (\bar{N}, 1, N) + (1, N, \bar{N}) \right)$$

i.e. chiral theory!

with 3 families!!!!

However the $N=4$ superpotential,

$$W_{N=4} = \text{Tr} \left(\epsilon_{ijk} \Phi^i \Phi^j \Phi^k \right)$$

is projected and gives the scalar pot.

$$V_{N=1}(\phi) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left([\phi^i, \phi^j]^\dagger [\phi_i, \phi_j] \right)$$

with minimum for vanishing vevs

\Rightarrow No vacuum of NC-type!

Natural mechanism, aim for

- fuzzy vacua
 - (potentially) realistic theory
- require introduction of $N=1$

Soft Supersymmetry Breaking (SSB) terms, i.e. those that explicitly break $N=1$, but do not introduce quadratic divergences (Girardello-Grisaru '81): scalar mass terms, trilinear scalar interaction, gaugino masses.

→ Full potential is

$$V = V_{N=1} + V_{SSB} + V_D \quad \text{--- D-terms} \geq 0$$

and can be brought in the form

$$V = \frac{1}{4} (F^{ij})^\dagger F^{ij} + V_D,$$

with $F^{ij} = [\phi^i, \phi^j] - i \epsilon^{ijk} \phi^k$

Vacuum

The minimum is obtained when

$$[\phi^i, \phi^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} \phi^k \quad \text{compatible with } \mathbb{Z}_3 \text{ projection}$$
$$\phi^i \phi^{it} = \mathbb{R}^2$$

Defining $\phi^i = \underline{\Omega} \tilde{\phi}^i$

with $\underline{\Omega} \neq 1, \underline{\Omega}^3 = 1, \underline{\Omega}^t = \underline{\Omega}^{-1}$;

$$\tilde{\phi}^{it} = \tilde{\phi}^i, \text{ i.e. } \phi^{it} = \underline{\Omega} \phi^i$$

$$\rightarrow [\tilde{\phi}^i, \tilde{\phi}^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} \tilde{\phi}^k; \tilde{\phi}^i \tilde{\phi}^i = \mathbb{R}^2$$

i.e. ordinary fuzzy sphere.

The ϕ^i 's with fluctuations around the vacuum

$$\phi^i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{(N-n)}^i + A^i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega (\lambda_{(N-n)}^i + A^i) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 (\lambda_{(N-n)}^i + A^i) \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\omega = 2\pi/3$

The gauge symmetry $SU(N)^3$ is broken down to $SU(n)^3$

Moreover, there exist a finite $K-K$ tower of massive states.

Particle Physics Models

Considering the embedding

$$SU(N) \supset SU(N-3) \times SU(3) \times U(1)$$

$$\rightarrow SU(N) \rightarrow SU(3)^3$$

$$SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$$

$$3 \cdot \left((3, \bar{3}, 1) + (\bar{3}, 1, 3) + (1, 3, \bar{3}) \right)$$

Embedding in Matrix Models

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3\text{-Orbifold Matrix M.}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_3 \subset SU(3) \times U(1) \subset SO(6) \subset SO(9, 1)$$

Aoki

150

Suyama

202